

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,358	09/19/2003	William Edward Starner	CVC-0004 8362		
23377 7	590 12/07/2005	EXAMINER			
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			FEELY, MICHAEL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/200	DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_i/N			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
		10/666,358	STARNER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael J. Feely	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	·				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 10/666,358

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-19 and 47-51, drawn to a nitrogen-containing polyepoxy resin treated with a carboxylic acid, classified in class 528, subclass 365.
 - II. Claims 20-43, drawn to a composition comprising a nitrogen-containing polyepoxy resin and a carboxylic acid, classified in class 525, subclass 533.
 - III. Claims 44-46, drawn to a method of making a polyepoxy resin water-soluble by treating it with a carboxylic acid, classified in class 528, subclass 486.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case,
- (a) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because: the combination does not require the specific and intimate interactions between the carboxylic acid and the polyepoxy resin (see claims 1, 10, and 43). The carboxylic acid could alternatively act as part of a curing mechanism, for example in a non-aqueous system; and
- (b) The subcombination has separate utility such as a water-soluble polyepoxy resin for an aqueous system.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,358

Art Unit: 1712

3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case:

Page 3

- (1) the process as claimed can be used to make an other and materially different product, such as a polyepoxy resin that does not strictly adhere to the structural limitations of formulae I, II, and IIA (see claims 1, 10, and 47).
- 4. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case:
- (1) the process as claimed can be used to make an other and materially different product, such as a polyepoxy resin that does not strictly adhere to the structural limitations of formula III (see claim 20).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,358 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I or Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. If Applicant elects Group I, this group contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - Species (1): claims 1-9 feature non-ionic interaction between the polyepoxy compound and the carboxylic acid;
 - Species (2): claims 10-19 and 47-51 feature ionic interaction between the polyepoxy compound and the carboxylic acid

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Neither of these claims represents a "generic claim".

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1712

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,358

Art Unit: 1712

Communication

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Feely whose telephone number is 571-272-1086. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Feely Primary Examiner

helly by

Art Unit 1712

December 2, 2005

MICHAEL FEELY
PRIMARY EXAMINER